

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/661,302	09/11/2003	Robert W. Nelson	NELSR-P01	7815	
23653 7590 01/29/2009 FRANK G MORKUNAS			EXAMINER		
7750 DAGGE		LASTRA, DANIEL			
SUITE 203 SAN DIEGO.	CA 92111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3688		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,302	NELSON, ROBERT W.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DANIEL LASTRA	3688	

	DANIEL LASTRA	3688	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:     The period for reply expires	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(c) in they are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		i be entered and an e.	cpianation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-12 and 14-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entored
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/D		
	/Raquel Alvarez/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3688	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach Applicant's claimed invention. The Examiner answers that While the citied reference talks about pricing devrisements by physical size, e.g. whole-page, half-page, quarter-page, etc., it does not explicitly disclose that the prices for the larger advertisements are less than the prices for the smaller advertisement. However, since setting up the advertising rates is a business decision by the publisher, it would have been obvious that the publisher could decide to charge less for a large advertisement and more for a small advertisement. Since there are only three ways of determining the rates charged for two different sizes of advertisements, (1) higher for larger, (2) lower for larger, or (3) same for all sizes, and no unexpected results are obtained in choosing one rate plan over the other it, would have been obvious for Magazine Advertising Guide to choose any one of the three possible plans including charging a lower rate for larger advertisements.